

Your submission reference number

**S08AD21A8**

- NG has provided no transparency of costs, nor any clarification on scope of its proposed works – what is included and what is not
- How can the planning authority sign this off when there has been no transparency on costs or alternatives
- NG states its proposal is the cheapest solution, which it is (possibly) for National Grid, but what about the country and the bill-payers?
- It is unclear if there is any provision in NG figures as to the levels of compensation that will be fought over for business-damage and blight
- There has been no fair or open consultation, and no alternatives looked at, let alone costings of alternatives and associated benefits
- Instead NG has pursued a bulldozer-approach, ignored any comments or suggestions, and dismissed technical advances where such is detrimental to its hard-coded demands
- There has been no oversight of its activities – Ofgem does not regulate transmission owners – confirmed by Ofgem
- NG – a ‘public’ company owned primarily by American finance houses and interested in its returns and not to that of OUR country or bill payers
- Our Prime Minister has openly stated that the planning process is broken – and this is a prime example of that
- No analysis has been done on the proposed damage of double-height pylons with a private road slicing across East Anglia, cutting businesses and communities in two
- No listening has happened. No costs or transparency provided
- There are real alternatives, especially around using HVDC – where costs are significantly improved for undergrounding verses old-fashioned HVAC. This has significant long-term and energy security benefits, none of which have been looked at for this NG project.
- Norwich is not by the sea, and thus N2T is not about providing windfarm access to the grid. In fact the majority of connections happen elsewhere.

- How is the planning authority able to get EVEN THIS far in the process, with a diktat from a private company to improve the finances of its owners at no consideration to those who will pay the bills and suffer the long-term damage.